Stochastic Particle Acceleration via Turbulence in Various High-Energy Astrophysical Phenomena

Katsuaki Asano (ICRR)

Non-thermal Emission



Consistent with the diffusive shock acceleration (Fermi I)+Bohm Limit! All non-thermal phenomena are due to shocks?

Troubles in Blazar Emission

1. Index harder than 2 for the electron injection spectrum.



FIG. 4.—One-zone SSC model spectra for the steady state emission of Mrk 421. The thick solid line shows the best-fit spectrum where the adopted parameters are $\delta = 12$, $R = 2.8 \times 10^{16}$ cm, B = 0.12 G, $\gamma_{\text{max}} = 1.5 \times 10^5$, $q_e = 9.6 \times 10^{-6}$ cm⁻³ s⁻¹, <u>s = 1.6</u>, and $u_e/u_B = 5$. The dotted line shows the spectrum obtained using the analytic estimates for Mrk 421. The thin solid and dashed lines show the spectra of low and high injection models, respectively, to indicate the uncertainty range of the spectral fitting.

2. Lower maximum energy

Mrk 421:

B=**38mG** If $\eta = 1$, even for $\beta_{sh} = 0.1$, $E_{max} = 7$ TeV. **But actual Max. Energy** 50 GeV

The Bohm factor should be $\sim 10^4$

Inoue & Takahara 2002

3. Too sharp break in the electron spectrum.

Compared to the cooling break, the difference in the indices seems large.

Electron Index in Fermi BL Lacs



Yan+

MNRAS 439, 2933-2942 (2014)



Name	<i>B</i> (0.01 G)	δ _D (10)	$t_{\rm v, min}$ (10 ⁵ s)	$\gamma'_{\rm max}$ (10 ⁷)	$\frac{\gamma_b'}{(10^4)}$	$\frac{K'_{e}}{(10^{55})}$	<i>p</i> 1	<i>p</i> ₂	χ^2_{red}
0033-1921	4.06 ± 1.24	2.43 ± 0.17	2.48 ± 1.21	0.07 ± 0.01	1.62 ± 0.20	0.12 ± 0.01	1.83 ± 0.08	3.29 ± 0.05	1.14
0414+009	1.30 ± 0.58	2.96 ± 1.36	3.54 ± 4.31	1.49 ± 2.70	12.67 ± 1.36	0.04 ± 0.02	1.88 ± 0.10	3.82 ± 0.07	3.96
0447-439	5.47 ± 1.38	3.63 ± 0.08	0.43 ± 0.11	0.052 ± 0.002	3.18 ± 0.29	0.05 ± 0.02	2.07 ± 0.03	3.96 ± 0.17	0.70
1013+489	5.72 ± 0.75	2.75 ± 0.47	0.55 ± 0.22	0.08 ± 0.04	6.82 ± 0.74	0.03 ± 0.01	2.03 ± 0.04	4.06 ± 0.19	2.11
2155-304	4.89 ± 0.66	1.97 ± 0.06	3.47 ± 0.52	0.087 ± 0.004	3.57 ± 0.20	0.011 ± 0.002	1.68 ± 0.02	3.79 ± 0.08	2.48
Mrk 421	4.23 ± 0.41	2.71 ± 0.27	0.42 ± 0.10	3.73 ± 0.81	18.43 ± 0.79	0.012 ± 0.002	2.13 ± 0.02	5.04 ± 0.18	1.39
Mrk 501	2.77 ± 0.63	2.99 ± 0.70	0.16 ± 0.11	0.16 ± 0.03	15.81 ± 3.10	0.007 ± 0.006	2.19 ± 0.09	3.12 ± 0.04	1.29
RBS 0413	5.48 ± 1.57	2.60 ± 0.55	0.23 ± 0.11	1.29 ± 0.42	9.97 ± 1.26	0.0014 ± 0.0006	1.93 ± 0.07	3.52 ± 0.34	1.91
1215 + 303	3.49 ± 0.17	3.58 ± 0.10	0.22 ± 0.02	0.27 ± 0.01	1.13 ± 0.04	0.0031 ± 0.0001	1.78 ± 0.01	3.61 ± 0.04	1.99
2247+381	5.45 ± 1.64	3.62 ± 0.05	0.14 ± 0.05	0.10 ± 0.06	8.87 ± 1.96	0.0004 ± 0.0002	1.96 ± 0.06	4.58 ± 0.42	0.54
0048-09	6.50 ± 5.84	2.50 ± 0.28	2.19 ± 1.74	0.10 ± 0.02	0.52 ± 0.04	0.015 ± 0.002	1.42 ± 0.18	3.72 ± 0.08	2.90
0716+714	5.90 ± 1.23	2.71 ± 0.47	3.51 ± 1.21	0.04 ± 0.01	0.92 ± 0.10	0.010 ± 0.002	1.49 ± 0.04	3.88 ± 0.07	1.98
0851+202	4.05 ± 2.41	2.40 ± 1.10	2.43 ± 3.34	0.14 ± 0.45	0.26 ± 0.10	0.13 ± 0.12	1.46 ± 0.40	4.65 ± 0.16	1.49
1058 + 5628	2.20 ± 1.14	2.40 ± 0.73	1.29 ± 0.72	0.06 ± 0.03	2.61 ± 0.30	0.06 ± 0.03	1.93 ± 0.05	3.59 ± 0.07	1.36
1246+586	8.82 ± 1.89	2.34 ± 0.34	3.06 ± 0.96	0.40 ± 0.02	0.89 ± 0.08	0.006 ± 0.006	1.43 ± 0.03	4.08 ± 0.08	1.52
W Comae	4.91 ± 0.12	2.70 ± 0.13	0.32 ± 0.04	0.06 ± 0.01	1.94 ± 0.09	0.046 ± 0.002	2.09 ± 0.02	3.65 ± 0.04	1.75
0426-380	1.08 ± 2.42	3.53 ± 4.01	0.93 ± 1.31	0.47 ± 0.02	1.77 ± 0.51	0.36 ± 0.78	1.78 ± 0.51	3.58 ± 0.93	2.41
0537-441	2.12 ± 1.55	3.62 ± 1.54	1.51 ± 1.38	0.38 ± 0.40	0.54 ± 0.09	0.20 ± 0.07	1.56 ± 0.13	3.96 ± 0.06	5.64
1717+177	1.79 ± 0.20	3.52 ± 0.18	0.036 ± 0.005	0.013 ± 0.003	1.79 ± 0.17	0.020 ± 0.001	2.12 ± 0.04	3.53 ± 0.19	3.97
BL Lac	1.86 ± 1.89	3.23 ± 1.70	0.95 ± 0.90	0.11 ± 0.09	0.29 ± 0.06	0.24 ± 0.04	1.84 ± 0.18	3.87 ± 0.04	4.10
OT 081	9.82 ± 9.80	2.31 ± 5.16	0.12 ± 0.55	2.00 ± 2.10	0.52 ± 0.58	0.007 ± 0.022	1.75 ± 0.66	3.76 ± 0.59	1.69
4C 01.28	10.56 ± 19.20	2.47 ± 3.42	0.66 ± 6.02	0.12 ± 0.43	0.30 ± 0.18	0.06 ± 0.13	1.69 ± 0.64	3.70 ± 0.32	0.96

Fermi Bubbles



Hadronic?



Shock velocity (Crocker+ 2015)

Hadronic model requires> 10^{57} erg. (CRs in the Galactic Disk: $\sim 6 \times 10^{54}$ erg) **M82** starburst galaxy ($L \sim 3 \times 10^{42}$ erg/s)



If the past GC activity deposited an energy>10⁵⁷ erg as the hadronic model implies, the structure may be more prominent as M82 shows. In a sense, the Fermi bubble is shabby or faint.

Weak shock + Thick Shell + Leptonic \rightarrow continuous electron acceleration via turbulence

Alternative Model



Continuous Acceleration by Scattering with Turbulence. (**2**nd Order Fermi Acceleration)

See e.g. Stawarz & Petrosian 2008

Energy gain per scattering

$$\frac{\Delta E}{E} \equiv \overline{\xi} \cong \frac{4}{3}\beta^2$$

Alfvenic Wave (transverse/incompressible)



pitch angle diffusion \rightarrow mean free path $l \sim \frac{B^2}{k\delta B^2(k)}r_{\rm L}$, $k \sim 1/r_{\rm L}$

resonance condition

$$\delta B^2(k) \propto k^{-q} \rightarrow D_{EE} = \frac{\langle \Delta E^2 \rangle}{\Delta t} \sim \frac{\bar{\xi}E^2}{l/c} \propto E^q$$

Compressible wave

Acoustic Wave (longitudinal/compressible)



$$\begin{split} & \frac{\Delta p}{\Delta t} \sim \frac{p_{\perp} v_{\perp}}{2B} |\nabla B| \sim \frac{p_{\perp} v_{\perp}}{2B} k \delta B(k) \\ & D_{EE} \sim \frac{c^2 < \Delta p^2 >}{\Delta t} \sim \frac{E^2 c^2}{8B^2} \int d^3 k k_{\parallel}^2 \delta B^2(k) \frac{1}{k_{\parallel} v_{\rm ph}} \end{split}$$

For fast wave with a typical eddy size L

$$D_{EE} \sim E^2 \frac{v_{\text{ph}}^2}{cL} \frac{\delta B_F^2}{B^2} \int_{k_{\min}}^{k_{\max}} d(Lk) (Lk)^{1-q} \sim E^2 \left(\frac{v_{\text{ph}}}{c}\right)^2 \frac{c}{L} \propto E^2$$
$$B^2 \sim \delta B_F^2 \qquad t_{\text{acc}} \propto E^0$$
(Hard sphere)

Ptuskin 1988: Cho & Lazarian 2006: Yan & Lazarian 2008: Lynn et al. 2014

Focker-Planck Equation

Kolmogorov+Alfvenic q=5/3. Compressible q=2 (hard sphere) $D_{FF} = KE^q$ $\frac{\partial N_{\rm e}(\varepsilon,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \left[D_{EE} \frac{\partial N_{\rm e}(E,t)}{\partial E} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \left[\left(\frac{2D_{EE}}{E} - \left\langle \dot{E}_{\rm cool} \right\rangle \right) N_{\rm e}(E,t) \right] + \dot{N}_{\rm e,inj}(E,t)$ Diffusion Acceleration Cooling Injection $N(\varepsilon) \propto \varepsilon^{-1 \text{ or } -\frac{2}{3} \text{ or } 2}$ harder than the shock case $N(\varepsilon) \propto \varepsilon^{-2}$ No cooling, continuous injection, time evolution No injection, balance with cooling, steady $\epsilon_e^2 N_e(\epsilon_e)$ $\varepsilon^2 N(\varepsilon)$ Hard Sphere Kolmogorov $3t_0$ Bohm $t = t_0$ 0.01 ε^2 q=2 $10^{-1/3}$ 0.0001 $10^{-2}t_0$ 'q=5/3 1e-06 q=1 1e-08 10¹² 10^{10} 10^{7} 10^{8} 10^{9} 10^{11} 0.110 ε ε_e [eV]

Fermi Bubble

Sasaki, Asano & Terasawa 2015

q = 2 with escape effect from the disturbed region



Slow process so the temporal evolution is essential.

Fermi bubble



The spectrum and surface brightness are reproduced by the phenomenological stochastic acceleration model.

Turbulence in AGN jets

Spine-Sheath structure



Kelvin Helmholtz Instability

Mizuno+ 2007

 x/R_i



Double layer in M87 jet



Extreme Hard Blazar 1ES 1101-232

$L_{\gamma} = 2.6 \times 10^{43} \mathrm{erg s}^{-1}$ Asano+ 2014 Electron spectrum Photon spectrum $10^{-2} \epsilon^{2} n'(\epsilon') [erg/cm^{3}]$ 1ES 1101-232 $10^{-10} \frac{\epsilon f(\epsilon) [erg/cm^2/s]}{\epsilon}$ 1ES 1101-232 10^{-4} 10⁻¹¹ 10.0 150 10-6 20.0 10⁻¹² 10-8 10^{-10} R/R₀=1.01 10^{-13} 10^{-3} 10^{0} 10^{3} $10^{12} \varepsilon [eV]$ 10^{6} 10^{9} 10^{9} 10^{10} 10^{11} 10^{12} 10^{13} 10^{8} 10^{7} ε' [eV]

The model parameters: $\Gamma = 25, B_0 = 0.03 \text{ G}, W' = R_0/\Gamma = 2.8 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}, \Delta T'_{\text{inj}} = W'/c,$ $K = 4.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^{1/3} \text{ s}^{-1}, \dot{N}_0 = 1.5 \times 10^{46} \text{ s}^{-1}$ **Kolmogorov value q=5/3**

Expanding jet \rightarrow No steady state \rightarrow Temporal evolution is essential

Mrk421

q = 2

Low maximum energy and curved electron spectrum are naturally reproduced with temporal evolution effects.



See also Kakuwa+ 2015

FSRQ 3C 279



3C 279 Flare

Lightcurve



Weak magnetic field: Acoustic waves rather than Reconnection

Gamma Ray Burst



Balance between Acceleration and Cooling

Magnetic Field is so strong that balance with acceleration.

Analytic Steady Solution for Electrons



$$D(\varepsilon) = \frac{\bar{\xi}\pi ec\varepsilon k\delta B_k^2}{8B} \equiv K\varepsilon^q.$$
$$) = \frac{3N_{\text{tot}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{6-q}{3-q}\right)E_{\text{pk}}} \left(\frac{E_{\text{e}}}{E_{\text{pk}}}\right)^2 \exp\left[-\left(\frac{E_{\text{e}}}{E_{\text{pk}}}\right)^{3-q}\right],$$
$$E_{\text{pk}} = \left(\frac{6\pi(3-q)K_0m_{\text{e}}^2c^3}{\sigma_{\text{T}}B^2}\right)^{1/(3-q)}.$$

See Lefa+ 2011



Decaying Turbulence

INVERSE CASCADE OF NON-HELICAL MAGNETIC TURBULENCE IN A RELATIVISTIC FLUID

Zrake 2014

JONATHAN ZRAKE





Temporal Evolution of the Spectrum



The temporal evolutions of two combinations determine the final photon spectrum

$$N_{
m tot}/E_{
m pk}^2 \propto (t+t_0)^a$$
 and $arepsilon_0' \propto BE_{
m pk}^2 \propto (t+t_0)^{-b}$
High-energy photon index $eta = -(1+a)/b$

Note
$$E_{\rm pk} = \left(\frac{6\pi (3-q)K_0 m_{\rm e}^2 c^3}{\sigma_{\rm T} B^2}\right)^{1/(3-q)}$$

Band-like Spectra



The required indices and MHD simulations seem to be reasonable.

Ultra High-Energy Cosmic Ray from GRBs



Asano & Meszaros 2014

Summary

- The stochastic acceleration is a slow process, but enough to explain the Fermi bubble.
- In relativistic jets (AGN, GRB····), the acceleration timescale can be comparable to the shock acceleration.
- The curved spectra in blazars are reproduced by the stochastic acceleration model with the temporal evolution effect.
- The problem in the GRB spectra is solved by the balance between the acceleration and cooling with decaying turbulence.
- The hard spectrum in this GRB model is favorable to agree with the UHECR flux.