Characterization of Delayed Crosstalk of SiPM

Hiroyasu Tajima, Akira Okumura, Yusuke Kuroda, Kazuhiro Furuta, Nagoya Hidaka Institute for Space–Earth Environmental Research Nagoya University





Japan Physical Society Meeting September 15, 2020

15pSF-11





Silicon Photomultiplier is chosen as a photon sensor for many experiments

- Cost per channel
- Tolerance against high rate environment
- Photon detection efficiency
- Reliability



- Major drawback of SiPM
 - Optical crosstalk (OCT)
 - Gain dependence on the temperature
- Main objective of this study

Suppress OCT while retaining photon detection efficiency (PDE)



- Optical crosstalk is caused by photons produced in primary avalanche
- Trench is implemented to prevent photons from crossing cell boundary

* Narrower trench gives better fill factor





- Optical crosstalk is caused by photons produced in primary avalanche
- Trench is implemented to prevent photons from crossing cell boundary

Narrower trench gives better fill factor





Thicker coating or no coating give lower crosstalk



JPS Meeting, SEP 15, 2020



- * No coating (or very thin coating)
 - Reflected photons come back to the original cell
- Intermediate thickness
 - Photons reflected by the air interface may produce avalanches in other cells
- Very thick coating
 - Photons reflected by the air interface may get out of the device
 - Smaller device may have lower crosstalk rate



Propagation of Crosstalk Photons



- No coating (or very thin coating)
 - Reflected photons come back to the original cell
- Intermediate thickness
 - Photons reflected by the air interface may produce avalanches in other cells
- Very thick coating
 - Photons reflected by the air interface may get out of the device
 - Smaller device may have lower crosstalk rate





- * No coating (or very thin coating)
 - Reflected photons come back to the original cell
- Intermediate thickness
 - Photons reflected by the air interface may produce avalanches in other cells
- Very thick coating
 - Photons reflected by the air interface may get out of the device
 - Smaller device may have lower crosstalk rate





- * No coating (or very thin coating)
 - Reflected photons come back to the original cell
- Intermediate thickness
 - Photons reflected by the air interface may produce avalanches in other cells
- Very thick coating
 - Photons reflected by the air interface may get out of the device
 - Smaller device may have lower crosstalk rate



CT Dependence on Device/Cell Sizes



- We have systematically investigated the OCT rate with varying device size, cell size, and with and without coating
 OCT rate is expected to be proportional to the number of electron-holes pairs (=charge) produced in an avalanche
 proportional to a product of [cell capacitance] and [over voltage]
 - Find out propagation properties of crosstalk photons

Product ID	Device size	Cell size	Coating	Fill factor
S14520-3050VS	3 mm	50 <i>µ</i> m	300 <i>µ</i> m	74%
S14520-3050VN	3 mm	50 <i>µ</i> m	None	74%
S14520-3075VS	3 mm	75 <i>µ</i> m	300 <i>µ</i> m	82%
S14520-3075VN	3 mm	75 <i>µ</i> m	None	82%
S14520-6050VS	6 mm	50 <i>µ</i> m	300 <i>µ</i> m	74%
S14520-6050VN	6 mm	50 <i>µ</i> m	None	74%
S14520-6075VS	6 mm	75 <i>µ</i> m	300 <i>µ</i> m	82%
S14520-6075VN	6 mm	75 <i>µ</i> m	None	82%

SiPM Measurement Setup at Nagoya



Take waveform data by digital oscilloscope



SiPM Measurement Setup at Nagoya



Take waveform data by digital oscilloscope







- We measure number of photons for short LED (or laser) pulses
 - Current measurement does not provide accurate PDE due to optical crosstalk, delayed cross talk and after pulse
- Number of photo electrons (p.e.) does not follow Poisson distribution due to optical crosstalk
 - Probability of 0 p.e. is used to obtain the average to avoid effects of optical crosstalk
 - Effect of dark count still need to be taken into account

$$P(n) = e^{-\mu} \mu^n / n!$$
$$P(0) = e^{-\mu}$$
$$\mu = -\ln(P(0))$$
$$P_{\text{true}}(0) = P_{\text{ON}}(0) / P_{\text{OFF}}(0)$$







- PDEs were measured for 2 devices for each type
- PDEs were measured twice for some devices
- Measured PDEs were very consistent, which indicates varying light intensity is properly compensated by the monitor SiPM
 Avalanch

 $PDE/(Fill factor) = PDE_{max} \cdot (1 - \exp[-C_{depth}V_{OV}/V_{BR}])$







Assume 1 p.e. peak of dark signal is dominated by dark count
 2 p.e. peak consists of optical crosstalk from 1 p.e. and chance coincidence of dark counts within Δt_{PS} (~3 ns in our setup)

Probability to have no optical crosstalk $\frac{N(\geq 1.5 \text{ p.e.})}{N(\geq 0.5 \text{ p.e.})} = 1 - \underbrace{(1 - R_{\text{OCT}})}^{\Psi} e^{-f_{\text{DC}}\Delta t_{\text{PS}}} \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{Poisson probability to have no} \\ \text{overlapping dark count within } \end{array}$ overlapping dark count within Δt_{PS} $R_{\rm OCT} = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{N(\geq 1.5 \text{ p.e.})}{N(\geq 0.5 \text{ p.e.})}\right) / e^{-f_{\rm DC}\Delta t_{\rm PS}}$ *N*(≧0.5 p.e.) 10⁴ *N*(≧1.5 p.e.) 10^{3} **f**_{DC}: Dark count rate 10² **Δt_{PS}: Double-pulse separation time** 10 E 3 p.e. 0 p.e. 2 p.e. p.e. -1000 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 mV Characterization of Delayed Crosstalk of SiPM

JPS Meeting, SEP 15, 2020



Optical crosstalk rate should be proportional to the gain and avalanche trigger probability

 $R_{\rm OCT}/({\rm Gain}) = C_{\rm OCT} \cdot \left(1 - \exp[-C'_{\rm depth}V_{\rm OV}/V_{\rm BR}]\right)$

Cdepth tends to be small without resin coating

 Avalanche seed is produced in the region where it is harder to trigger avalanche









Optical crosstalk rate should be proportional to the gain and avalanche trigger probability

 $R_{\rm OCT}/({\rm Gain}) = C_{\rm OCT} \cdot \left(1 - \exp[-C'_{\rm depth}V_{\rm OV}/V_{\rm BR}]\right)$

Cdepth tends to be small without resin coating

 Avalanche seed is produced in the region where it is harder to trigger avalanche





- No coating (or very thin coating)
 - Reflected photons come back to the original cell
- Intermediate thickness
 - Photons reflected by the air interface may produce avalanches in other cells
- Very thick coating
 - Photons reflected by the air interface may get out of the device
 - Smaller device may have lower crosstalk rate
- How about the crosstalk thorough the backside?





- Distribution of the time difference between two pulses show two exponential component with different time constants
 Relatively long time constant is due to dark counts
 - Short time component is mainly due to delayed crosstalk
 - Short time constant is not heavily dependent on the over voltage
 - Afterpulse is negligible in these SiPMs





- Distribution of the time difference between two pulses show two exponential component with different time constants
 Relatively long time constant is due to dark counts
 - Short time component is mainly due to delayed crosstalk
 - Short time constant is not heavily dependent on the over voltage
 - Afterpulse is negligible in these SiPMs







* Delayed crosstalk rate (RDCT) is calculated as

 $R_{
m DCT} = rac{N_{
m S}}{N_{
m S} + N_{
m L}}$

 $N_{\rm S}$: # of event with short time constant $N_{\rm L}$: # of event with long time constant

There is no significant difference due to coating
 C_{DCT} is smaller for 75 μm cells (smaller crosstalk efficiency)
 C_{DCT} is larger than C_{OCT}, which means total amount of delayed crosstalk is larger than optical cross talk







***** Delayed crosstalk rate (*R*_{DCT}) is calculated as

 $R_{\rm DCT} = \frac{N_{\rm S}}{N_{\rm S} + N_{\rm L}}$

 $N_{\rm S}$: # of event with short time constant $N_{\rm L}$: # of event with long time constant

- There is no significant difference due to coating
- ***** C_{DCT} is smaller for 75 μm cells (smaller crosstalk efficiency)
- CDCT is larger than COCT, which means total amount of delayed crosstalk is larger than optical cross talk







There are some missing events for Δt < 6 ns
 These events may be recognized as "prompt" crosstalk
 Ratio of (Missing Event Rate)/(Apparent Optical Crosstalk)
 Ratio is more than 1 and constant for SiPMs without coating
 Apparent crosstalk may be accounted for by missing events for SiPMs without coating
 Missing NMissing N(≥ 1.5p.e.)







- * No visible dependence on temperature for
 - Interpretended in the second stant with the second stant in the second stant is a second stant stan
 - delayed crosstalk rate







- Optical crosstalk rate is significantly affected by resin coating
 - Seed photons propagate through resin coating
 - Smaller device size and thicker coating reduce OCT rate
 - Larger cell size increase OCT rate due to larger gain
 - * No coating significantly reduces OCT rate
- Delayed crosstalk rate is greater than the optical crosstalk
 Delayed crosstalk is indecent of the resin thickness
 - Time constant of delayed crosstalk is ~20 ns and independent of the over voltage
 - Apparent cross talk will increase if the pulse width is not much shorter than 20 ns
 - Early component of the delayed crosstalk may account for the remaining crosstalk for SiPMs without resin coating